
Human Factors Challenges for Driving Automation Systems

**Steven E. Shladover, Sc.D.
California PATH Program
University of California, Berkeley**

**SIP – adus Workshop
Tokyo, October 28, 2015**



SAE J3016 Definitions – Levels of Automation


SAE Level	Name	Narrative Definition	Execution of Steering/ Acceleration/ Deceleration	Monitoring of Driving Environment	Fallback Performance of Dynamic Driving Task	System Capability (Driving Modes)
<i>Human driver monitors the driving environment</i>						
0	No Automation	the full-time performance by the <i>human driver</i> of all aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i> , even when enhanced by warning or intervention systems	Human driver	Human driver	Human driver	n/a
1	Driver Assistance	the <i>driving mode</i> -specific execution by a driver assistance system of either steering or acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the <i>human driver</i> perform all remaining aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i>	Human driver and system	Human driver	Human driver	Some driving modes
2	Partial Automation	the <i>driving mode</i> -specific execution by one or more driver assistance systems of both steering and acceleration/deceleration using information about the driving environment and with the expectation that the <i>human driver</i> perform all remaining aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i>	System	Human driver	Human driver	Some driving modes
<i>Automated driving system ("system") monitors the driving environment</i>						
3	Conditional Automation	the <i>driving mode</i> -specific performance by an <i>automated driving system</i> of all aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i> with the expectation that the <i>human driver</i> will respond appropriately to a <i>request to intervene</i>	System	System	Human driver	Some driving modes
4	High Automation	the <i>driving mode</i> -specific performance by an <i>automated driving system</i> of all aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i> , even if a <i>human driver</i> does not respond appropriately to a <i>request to intervene</i>	System	System	System	Some driving modes
5	Full Automation	the full-time performance by an <i>automated driving system</i> of all aspects of the <i>dynamic driving task</i> under all roadway and environmental conditions that can be managed by a <i>human driver</i>	System	System	System	All driving modes

Example Systems at Each Automation Level

Level	Example Systems	Driver Roles
1	Adaptive Cruise Control OR Lane Keeping Assistance	Must drive <u>other</u> function and monitor driving environment
2	Adaptive Cruise Control AND Lane Keeping Assistance Traffic Jam Assist (Mercedes, Volvo, Infiniti)	Must monitor driving environment (system nags driver to try to ensure it)
3	Traffic Jam Pilot Automated parking with supervision	May read a book, text, or web surf, but be prepared to intervene when needed
4	Highway driving pilot Closed campus driverless shuttle Driverless valet parking in garage	May sleep, and system can revert to minimum risk condition if needed
5	Automated taxi (even for children) Car-share repositioning system	No driver needed


Level 1 Driver Assistance

- Full attention needed to execute “other” driving task → no loss of driver vigilance
- Warning systems (using same sensors as automation systems) augment driver vigilance
- Reduced effort on steering in tight curves frees up driver attention to monitor external hazards:



Level 2 Partial Automation

- Drivers could be misled to assume higher capability than system has
- Drivers will lose vigilance when system does steering and speed/spacing control
- Drivers will be tempted to abuse the system so they can do other things:



Level 3 Conditional Automation

- **Serious doubts about feasibility of capturing driver's attention to provide fallback within a few seconds, considering:**
 - **Inattention**
 - **Distraction**
 - **Sleep**
- **Can these driver states be avoided?**
- **Fallback will be needed under the most challenging emergency driving conditions**
- **Doubts about feasibility (safety) of Level 3**

Broader Human Factors Issues for Automation

- **User acceptance based on perceived safety (especially after crashes are reported)**
- **Interactions with vulnerable road users (bikes and pedestrians), who depend on eye contact with drivers today**
- **Interactions with other drivers, especially for overly-timid AV driving styles**
- **Societal risk tolerance determining “how safe is safe enough?” to be different by country**

Regulatory Needs

- **California legislation specified that new rules apply to “technology that has the capability to drive a vehicle without the active physical control or monitoring by a human operator”**
- **What rules are needed to:**
 - **Ensure users are informed/educated about capabilities and limitations of systems**
 - **Require some minimum system safety level**
 - **Reassure other road users that their safety has not been compromised by AVs**
 - **Deter abuses of systems by drivers**

Public Policy Choices

- How to balance protecting public safety with encouraging new technological innovations?
 - **Immature technology will not be safe at first**
- How to gain societal consensus on acceptable safety level for automated driving systems?
- How to balance “new economy” jobs creating more advanced automation systems with driving jobs that could be lost eventually?
- How to assess mixed impacts on energy use and traffic, based on increased levels of travel but more efficient unit travel?